

Q1 PROS - What do you like about Option A?

Answered: 86 Skipped: 19

#	Responses	Date
1	In general, I think it is a good idea to renovate the site. However, it seems you are throwing out some very nice features that you have currently.	6/28/2015 10:43 AM
2	Not as expensive and makes a good use of what's already here	6/28/2015 9:43 AM
3	I like that this option reuses the current sports fields location and the the existing structures.	6/27/2015 9:37 PM
4	I like that the school facilities are co-located with the rec facilities rather than in a completely separate building at the southern end of the site, as proposed in Option B. Also it makes more sense to leave the existing tennis courts and field where they are since they are in relatively good condition. I would vote for the kiddie pool addition. The pool is a very important community resource both to kids and to lap swimming adults, and the addition of a kiddie pool would reduce the current competition for pool space between kids and lap swimmers. Having the "connector" pedestrian walkway across the top of the campus as shown is very important, to allow people easier access to the facilities in the back side of the campus such as medical, WIC and the daycare. This walkway will need to be very thoughtfully designed and well lit so as to feel safe to everyone both during the day and in the evening.	6/27/2015 7:34 PM
5	I appreciate the closer, tighter grouping of buildings. (I Option B leaves the new southern building a bit too isolated.) I like as well that the "connector" and "entry" elements expand access into the complex through two places rather than Option B's single "connector." I like as well that Option A will give the students the chance to grow-up in a renovation and see for themselves how renovations can be exciting.	6/27/2015 6:50 PM
6	playgrounds closer to school. looks like it would cost less to get done and require less construction	6/26/2015 7:44 PM
7	Option A makes more sense from a rational use of resources. In option B one would tear down the current outdoor sports facilities, which are in good working condition (the soccer field has been a tremendous success and was open only recently).	6/26/2015 6:21 PM
8	Keeps south part of campus open with nice views of city and into neighborhood. Re-use existing buildings, keep things closer together.	6/26/2015 4:03 PM
9	Option A reuses more of the existing assets, and will bring the building closer to the streetscape. I don't know for sure, but I would assume that this is the less expensive option.	6/26/2015 3:40 PM
10	None	6/26/2015 3:38 PM
11	Reuses existing building, keeps newly redone soccer fields, has the option for an expanded lap pool, which is much needed since the current lap pool is very crowded and small. The redesign of the school seems good.	6/26/2015 2:19 PM
12	The existing tennis courts and soccer field would remain in place and keeps that area open as opposed to a huge building. We don't want a building at the corner of California & Florida. Makes the most use of the existing building.	6/26/2015 2:04 PM
13	This is a very strong plan. Increasing light (via windows, skylights, and classroom placement), grouping organized sports together, and building new pools are all fantastic benefits.	6/26/2015 12:21 PM
14	It is more open and light-filled than option B.	6/26/2015 7:43 AM
15	Since we've been given no choice about choosing Option B - this is a pretty stupid question.	6/25/2015 7:31 PM
16	Like that the organized sports area remains the same.	6/25/2015 4:08 PM
17	reuses and modernizes the existing building, keeps fields and sports areas together to provide a nice green spot for the neighborhood.	6/25/2015 3:29 PM
18	Retaining the building seems environmentally smart.	6/25/2015 3:15 PM
19	...that the tennis courts that were just recently fixed up this year and the soccer field that the Embassy of the UAE helped pay for which was just dedicated in 2013 will be kept intact	6/25/2015 2:31 PM

20	Less construction.	6/25/2015 1:51 PM
21	The retrofit actually looks better than I thought it would	6/24/2015 11:30 PM
22	Keeps the pocket park on Ontario. Renovated the pool, and preserves the integrity and history of the building. Stays within budget. Brings light into classrooms	6/24/2015 2:05 PM
23	Lots of sunlight in the learning spaces.	6/24/2015 12:29 PM
24	I like the tennis courts and soccer field where they are now. Also, the location of the alternate pool and the playground.	6/24/2015 10:57 AM
25	First let me say that I'm so excited to learn about this project. Marie Reed has the potential to be an amazing community resource, and this renovation could do a lot to achieve that. To answer the question: I assume that reusing the existing building would decrease construction time, which would be good. And maybe this approach would do more to improve the current facilities, rather than focusing time and energy reconfiguring the complex.	6/24/2015 10:42 AM
26	1. Keeps existing building and seems less wasteful. 2. The classroom layout seems to work and is well connected to the playgrounds. 3. The classrooms have nice breakout space for use as further learning areas, experimental areas and exhibitions areas. The skylights above will be nice. 4. The sports field and tennis courts are saved. 5. The Ontario Road neighbors will appreciate a project that will involve less disruption. 6. The swimming entrance has been improved.	6/23/2015 11:38 PM
27	Keep soccer field where it is: here.	6/23/2015 8:21 PM
28	Maintains existing structure and newly built soccer field; renovations seem intuitive and look great in concept.	6/23/2015 5:37 PM
29	I like that it is probably more cost effective by virtue of keeping the soccer field and tennis courts in place. It also seems that there may be greater efficiency to house all of the uses in one building as opposed to 2 separate buildings, as in Option B, but I do not know.	6/23/2015 2:06 PM
30	Adding a building to the existing one. Keeping the soccer field (new) and tennis courts (improved) as is. Moving bb court to join them.	6/22/2015 10:55 PM
31	I like how modern the new building looks with glass and light	6/22/2015 2:33 PM
32	It keeps the organized sports where they currently are situated.	6/22/2015 11:24 AM
33	The Health Center	6/22/2015 9:02 AM
34	This is the most sustainable solution, because it adaptively uses existing buildings and facilities, adding some new ones, while up-grading others. It also provides the most recreation facilities - indoor and outdoor and would require the least grading and site and thus community traffic disruption. Alternative that would add a pool to the west to enable the inclusion of a community multipurpose room seems most desirable. Since the pool would be on the ground level, underground, open space above it could still be used for recreation, depending on what kind of roof is used for it - i.e., skylights or retractable roof, or a solid one. Demolishing the barrel vaults, and adding skylights would saveon energy.	6/21/2015 7:14 PM
35	We like the consolidation of organized sports to help provide open space and more "sky" to an already crowded urban neighborhood.	6/21/2015 4:33 PM
36	Leaving the sports field is nice--	6/20/2015 7:44 AM
37	It addresses 18th Street and fits more appropriately into the existing streetscape, rather than the 1970s approach that Marie Reed takes.	6/19/2015 5:12 PM
38	If it reuses existing school, I guess it would be cheaper?	6/19/2015 2:40 PM
39	Is less disruptive. Keeps community space and sports on South End.	6/19/2015 12:41 PM
40	Keeping the current field as open space - it's a great open-feeling corner.	6/19/2015 10:06 AM
41	Nothing.	6/19/2015 8:12 AM
42	It keeps the existing field that was just redone and is in good shape Seems to make the best use of the strengths of the existing school and spaces	6/18/2015 8:47 PM
43	Less disruption	6/18/2015 10:50 AM
44	Keeping the current playing fields seems to make sense. They were just redone, and it would be a shame to undo all the work.	6/18/2015 10:03 AM

45	Rehabs vs razes the building. Doesn't move the two new elements: soccer field, tennis courts. Brings the building up to 18th st.	6/18/2015 8:57 AM
46	Like the fact that existing building will be saved because of the sound structure of the building less constructions.making use of what's already there.	6/18/2015 8:26 AM
47	I don't want option A- I believe strongly we need a new building!	6/18/2015 7:34 AM
48	Skylights on the upper school floor.	6/17/2015 10:55 PM
49	Avoids excessive building work	6/17/2015 4:10 PM
50	All current tenants kept, unstructured space in school in addition to new traditional classrooms, lots of light	6/17/2015 4:08 PM
51	That the sports field remains in the same location.	6/17/2015 3:32 PM
52	It maintains the investments made in the newly renovated tennis courts and recent soccer field.	6/17/2015 3:02 PM
53	This puts all organized sports together and keeps the buffer between the soccer field seating and the residential area across 19th street. This existing buffer is necessary because this is used for movie nights and is already quite loud. This keeps open green space around the soccer field that is used for free play by children. This provides a good-sized area for a new playground. The auditorium will remain. I love the idea of a kiddie pool. Reusing the existing building and keeping the newly created soccer field seems like it would minimize costs or allow for more to be done within the budgeted amount.	6/17/2015 2:48 PM
54	I like that it preserves what I understand to be a relatively new soccer field on the 18th and California side of things.	6/17/2015 2:43 PM
55	Addition of a completely new pool.	6/17/2015 2:43 PM
56	I think its fine, i just think Option B might be a better use of space.	6/17/2015 2:35 PM
57	Not much, but it does look as though the building would be larger than in option B...	6/17/2015 2:29 PM
58	uses existing structure	6/17/2015 2:17 PM
59	I like that the buildings are unified and connected. I like that it makes use of existing buildings. I like that it feels like a unified concept and that the organized sports are consolidated.	6/17/2015 1:43 PM
60	- Option A creates a light, open, and modern design. - Option A reconfigures the current building without changing existing building heights, sight lines, etc. - Option A retains a greater percentage of the existing outdoor/open space. - Option A retains the (only recently) revamped soccer fields. - Option A appears to be the most cost-effective choice. - Option A looks as if it will have the most positive (and least negative) impact on the neighborhood.	6/17/2015 1:30 PM
61	That it keeps the sports areas on California St. intact, that it adds buildings and playgrounds, that there is an emphasis on natural light for the school.	6/17/2015 1:13 PM
62	--Reusing the existing building: will prevent the needless destruction of the existing well-built infrastructure and will result in significant cost savings --More educational space than Option B: the two large common spaces for science and media on the second floor will provide added space for educational uses that is available only because of the cost savings associated with recycling the existing building --Combination of large common space surrounded by conventional classrooms for grades 1-2 and 3-5: will be the best of both worlds in terms of teaching and learning opportunities, with student access to both a structured and a more open physical environment --Replacement of the barrel vaults with new skylights: will constitute a major improvement; under the current configuration, the barrel vaults do not provide enough light and limit the extent to which solar panels can be installed on the roofs	6/17/2015 1:03 PM
63	Good reuse of existing space	6/17/2015 12:58 PM
64	keeps the newly renovated soccer field intact. light pollution from tennis courts has less impact on neighboring homes. playground moved to a spot that will potentially have some shade. use of existing building would minimize construction noise, dust, and hopefully budget	6/17/2015 12:56 PM
65	I like having all the recreation activities in one spot (well, minus the playground of course).	6/17/2015 12:49 PM
66	The pool stays open as much as possible.	6/17/2015 12:07 PM
67	Better use of existing structures, does not involve destruction of current (new) athletic field.	6/17/2015 11:28 AM

68	Limited demolition of existing buildings - greener and more natural resource friendly. Education facilities are kept together and separated from DPR facilities. Limited damage to the existing soccer field.	6/17/2015 11:15 AM
69	It keeps the existing sports fields and would minimize the footprint of the construction area. I also like the location of that pocket park.	6/17/2015 10:58 AM
70	Probably less expensive as it reuses the existing building footprint, and the playground areas are larger.	6/17/2015 10:52 AM
71	Really nothing.	6/17/2015 10:44 AM
72	I love the expanded play and sports spaces for children. You can never have too many parks!	6/17/2015 10:43 AM
73	the cost and time required will likely be more manageable. The tennis courts were recently refinished and the soccer field is very new so keeping them where they are would leverage those recent investments. I like that the entry will be closer to 18th street and that the classrooms will be reconfigured. Moving the basketball court adjacent to the tennis courts and soccer field makes sense to cluster those community resources and designate the playground on the other side of the entry for the students.	6/17/2015 10:22 AM
74	Wide open fiels space, keeping the newly rennovated field	6/17/2015 9:53 AM
75	Reusing more of the existing building seems more efficient and greener	6/17/2015 8:19 AM
76	It preserves the soccer field that was just built at great expense, at its current location. I would like to have a new pool to use!	6/17/2015 8:02 AM
77	Re-using and modernizing the existing building; keeping tennis courts and soccer fields as they are; incorporating skylights to have daylight in the building	6/16/2015 10:16 PM
78	Nothing	6/16/2015 9:51 PM
79	The playground location	6/16/2015 9:42 PM
80	I like this plan in that it keeps the open space at California & 18th while still providing adequate space for the school and services.	6/16/2015 9:14 PM
81	Keeps existing facilities in place which will allow for use during construction. Similar to site layout of today.	6/16/2015 9:07 PM
82	Plan A seems better organized and less disruptive of the buildings already there. It utilizes, modernizes, and expands the current building which appears to provide more interior space than Plan B. It would be useful to know the proposed costs of the two Plans.	6/16/2015 7:57 PM
83	Keeps and modernizes the existing building which appears to offer more interior space than Plan B	6/16/2015 7:52 PM
84	It is better than B.	6/16/2015 6:12 PM
85	--Preserving and improving the existing buildings (adaptive re-use approach) --Building the classrooms around a common space is the best of both worlds, preserving space for congregate activities and functions while also establishing discrete spaces for classroom teaching --Expanding (apparently) space for DPR activities will provide the community with badly needed new public spaces and provide new recreational opportunities -- Opening up the space to the outside world by providing new fenestration on both the roofs and the exterior walls will provide better light and improve connections between the inside world and the outside --Expanding the (apparently) the size of the lap pool and adding an indoor kiddie pool will greatly improve swimming options	6/16/2015 11:39 AM
86	Keeping the newly redone, current soccer field as is. This is critical. Plus, the space is more inviting along 18th street and is less of an imposition on backside neighbors.	6/16/2015 10:24 AM

Q2 CONS - What concerns do you have about Option A?

Answered: 82 Skipped: 23

#	Responses	Date
1	1. My biggest concern is Happy Hollow. This is a tiny area and it is much-loved by every child in the neighborhood. We go there several times every week during summer. 2. The large pool is also widely used. 3. I've virtually never seen the existing soccer area unused. 4. There are a million parks around, and there is absolutely no need for a pocket park. The playground and field are both great, but everyone would rather have Happy Hollow than a pocket park.	6/28/2015 10:43 AM
2	No discussion of the outdoor children's pool	6/28/2015 9:43 AM
3	My concern is that a sloppy renovation would make the opposite impression that I underline in the "pros" section, leaving the students with the idea that renovations are chosen because they are "cheaper" (not always the case, of course).	6/27/2015 6:50 PM
4	Please keep the outdoor kiddie pool - it's one of the few city-wide, and the only one accessible to the area with public transit!	6/27/2015 4:25 PM
5	I don't want a parking lot behind the alley on Ontario and I don't want Ontario to become a main traffic thoroughfare for the school. Also, I'd really like to save the happy hallow kiddie pool. It is a neighborhood treasure. We really enjoy having an outdoor pool in the neighborhood!!!	6/27/2015 1:54 PM
6	That the additions would not be done and we will lose the pool	6/27/2015 8:28 AM
7	I am concerned that the playground on Ontario Rd will be lost and that the traffic on that street will increase due to the placement of the parking and pocket park on that street. Ontario Rd is a residential street, whereas Champlain Rd is mostly used by the people who are the beneficiaries of the center. The traffic is currently through Champlain Rd and should be kept this way, since there is minimal disruption to residents. Redirecting some or most of the traffic to Ontario Rd will impose a high cost to the residents.	6/26/2015 6:21 PM
8	This plan does not seem to retain Happy Hollow, the outdoor children's pool that is a community fixture in the summer months. Our family has used this pool since our 8-year-old daughter was an infant. It is a rarity in this city - a shaded, outdoor pool designed for children within walking distance of densely populated residential neighborhoods filled with kids. We love this pool. A replacement indoor pool in the basement of a new building is no replacement. One of the best aspects of Happy Hollow is that children can play outside, in the sunlight, in the fresh breeze, and not be relegated to a basement under fluorescent lights, in a haze of chlorinated air. The children in our neighborhood don't have many amenities - the playgrounds at Kalorama Park and Walter Pierce are old and tired. We want to keep what we have in Happy Hollow. It's a special place, a true neighborhood gem.	6/26/2015 4:55 PM
9	a/Accessibility/circulation seem problematic: too few elevator connections, particularly between uses; my experience with the school is that users need inside connections to all facilities, not just similar uses. Imagine a grandparent pushing a stroller and needing to stop at the clinic, meet a child at a sports function, speak to several teachers, consult the principal, drop off a child at the pool, meet a child at daycare, etc etc. Imagine a school function in the central court, cafeteria, or gym for which many students, caregivers, and guests need the accessibility of elevators from many locations at the same time b/Parking should not be a use on this site c/Outdoor kiddie pool, a summer essential, has been sacrificed d/Acoustics of open court can be hard to manage, with undesirable effects on all communicating spaces e/Uncertain function/attractive nuisance of central court f/Failure to use/express change in elevation of the site, particularly at low corner at 18th St;	6/26/2015 4:02 PM
10	Loss of outdoor children's pool	6/26/2015 3:38 PM

11	The outdoor kiddie pool is lost. This would be a huge loss to the community and a waste of money to move it. The current pool is perfectly located in a shaded area where trees create a breeze, so it is the perfect place to cool off in the hot DC summer days. Even if the proposed kiddie pool had a sliding wall to open part of it to the outdoors, it would not have the same outdoor feel, which would limit its appeal. There are only 3 other outdoor kiddie pools in the city, and they are hard to get to from Adams Morgan. The current pool is heavily used by all segments of the community and is an important place of community interaction, where people get to know their neighbors and develop networks for mutual support. It also is in perfectly good condition, so it would be a huge waste of money to rebuild it in a different and less favorable location. This is especially a concern now that there seem to be stronger budget limitations for the modernization project. PLEASE KEEP THE OUTDOOR KIDDIE POOL WHERE IT IS!	6/26/2015 2:19 PM
12	None. Seems to utilize most of what's currently there, only making it better.	6/26/2015 2:04 PM
13	The existing building structure and pump station are both unwieldy and awkward. These are challenges to this plan.	6/26/2015 12:21 PM
14	Option A does not guarantee a new pool.	6/26/2015 7:43 AM
15	Unstructured teaching space = unstructured learning. There goes a lot of hope for test scores. Hasn't anybody designing these consulted the latest education research on positive learning situations?	6/25/2015 7:31 PM
16	Additions do not orient themselves to 18th street and maintaining the organized sports fields as is doesn't really anchor California Street NW with any architecture.	6/25/2015 3:59 PM
17	Too much new construction.	6/25/2015 1:51 PM
18	Entire south end is dead for winter... all of it...	6/24/2015 11:30 PM
19	Will the open spaces be safer in this redesign?	6/24/2015 2:05 PM
20	I live in the neighborhood and have a small kid but we have never used the facilities at Marie Reed—partly because they're run down, partly because I don't know what kinds of programs/events happen there. I would like any new design to feel more welcoming and accessible for us. I worry that using the existing configuration won't accomplish that—that it will still feel closed-off, physically or mentally.	6/24/2015 10:42 AM
21	1. Compared to option B, it is harder to keep the school operating during construction. 2. The 'dead' spaces remain around the existing building. The building has no street sense. Option A needs to show how it will make the spaces around the building work and be more inviting with great landscaping. 3. It would be nice if the basketball courts could be kept near 18th Street. These are used at night and should remain visible from the street to invite use and for safety. 4. The connector between 18th and Champlain may attract crime and drug dealing during the night. It would be better to remove this connection as it will not be properly policed. 3. The residents of Ontario Road NW do not like the proposed parking area in the existing playground. The alley is very narrow and a parking area is not a nice addition to the landscape. 4. Would like to see Old Morgan School Place (road) shut down and incorporated into school plan. The street is a source of constant petty crime.	6/23/2015 11:38 PM
22	Don't put parking access on Ontario road.	6/23/2015 8:21 PM
23	There are security problems on Champlain street. Keeping the existing buildings would not bring any solution to these problems, which are partly related to the fact that the east wing creates a "barrier"; the space between the two wings is very claustrophobic. Another problem is that option A overbuilds the land and reduces the exterior public space.	6/23/2015 7:07 PM
24	That the overpass over Champlain street will remain; would like to know more about how the firm intends to maintain the overpass while addressing the gloomy, enclosed and slightly menacing current situation. Also, and related, would like to know more about plans for the Old Morgan School Place-facing part of the school. Currently, the school borders this small road, but it appears the original designers considered this "the back" of the school, and designed it as such. The street is therefore neglected, and feels remote (contributing to the unsavory feeling under the overpass at Champlain, since both are essentially connected). More lighting, openness and other ideas to address these issues would be MOST WELCOMED!	6/23/2015 5:37 PM
25	That building is hideous	6/23/2015 4:18 PM
26	I live along the alley that I believe you are proposing to use for access to your parking lot. First, this alley is not adequately wide to accommodate any sort of commercial parking at the end. Also, the land is really too valuable to have any surface parking - I would suggest an entrance off Champlain and a small parking lot next to the apartment building with the early childhood playground on top. This may also help to bridge the elevation change on the site in this location.	6/23/2015 2:06 PM

27	Wasted money. Moving the early childhood playground. It was just upgraded! Not sure how you are keeping school ad other services separate from each other. They should be. Kids need their own space.	6/22/2015 10:55 PM
28	I hate the current buildings and the layout of the current center, with the playground being sunken, but the addition of the building addition may address that. The arch way over Champlain is dark and like a soviet concrete building, very depressing and the area is not inviting at all.	6/22/2015 2:33 PM
29	The existing building needs to be torn down. Most importantly, the portion over Champlain must be removed: this is one of the biggest eyesores in the neighborhood, and it would be a monumental shame to spend this amount of money on a modernization and keep that monstrosity.	6/22/2015 11:24 AM
30	No School	6/22/2015 9:02 AM
31	Would be more protective for users to have the pocket park next to the building, rather than at the street. Putting parking just off the street would make that more accessible, so cars would not have to pass the pocket park to get to parking. Plantings along street/within parking area could buffer it from the neighborhood.	6/21/2015 7:14 PM
32	Where is the outdoor pool??? Such a huge loss!	6/20/2015 7:44 AM
33	The building design (internal plan) is fairly generic and I'm concerned about what the exterior of the new building and the newly revived Marie Reed bldg. will look like.	6/19/2015 5:12 PM
34	My concern is I don't see a new/improved space for a rec center. My priorities are an outdoor kiddie pool, a rec center for indoor sports and adult education and/or parties. Also, to ensure the health clinic and day care remain.	6/19/2015 2:40 PM
35	Please keep both pools! It is huge to have them in the neighborhood, especially the kiddie pool.	6/19/2015 10:06 AM
36	Parking is located adjacent to a residentilL alley which could cause traffic/safety problems for the residents on Ontario street.	6/19/2015 8:12 AM
37	Would it disrupt the class rooms more to revamp the space rather than build a new space?	6/18/2015 8:47 PM
38	Leaving the tennis courts adjacent to 18th street is a waste of prime street frontage and a lost opportunity. The design for street frontage on 18th street should focus on creating a lively streetscape that can have activity or use almost 24/7. For example, along the streetscape allow for shops, storefronts, or another use that will attract people around the clock.	6/18/2015 11:04 AM
39	nothing	6/18/2015 10:50 AM
40	It depends how much of the old building gets fixed up. It is pretty ugly, old, and falling apart. Hopefully some serious modernization is accomplished.	6/18/2015 10:03 AM
41	Can the building be rehabbed enough so that it feels like a new building? Will the addition up to 18th st have an entrance for all MR activities? Is there any community space included?	6/18/2015 8:57 AM
42	Where's the senior center and library? What about our seniors in the neighborhood??	6/18/2015 8:26 AM
43	We need a new modern building	6/18/2015 7:34 AM
44	The offset of the school building from California Street and from 18th Street continue to make the structure isolated from the community. Note how the revamped field has become utterly vibrant now: a real community resource. The isolation of the building is architecturally unpleasant, but even more importantly, I think it is psychologically bad for the schoolkids. (I'm assuming security will be adequate whatever configuration is chosen.)	6/17/2015 10:55 PM
45	Not purpose built/best use of space available. Keeps the buildings set back away from the community	6/17/2015 4:10 PM
46	Possible loss of both pools, loss of outdoor kiddie pool	6/17/2015 4:08 PM
47	That there is parking close to Ontario Rd. I am concerned about the increase in traffic on Ontario Road and that it will be a through road to access Marie Reed.	6/17/2015 3:32 PM
48	Will it meet the students' needs for space, etc.	6/17/2015 3:02 PM
49	There is only one drop-off. The parking seems very limited. One of the draft pages does not account for a playground along 18th Street -- a playground is very beneficial for the school and the community. I think the new addition contemplated for the pool would be underground, but I'm not quitter sure. If it is underground, I'm not sure about the plans for the surface. There is not much of a community buffer along 18th Street -- the buffer seems to be outside the site area.	6/17/2015 2:48 PM
50	My only concerns would be specifically how the old building would be modified, as its current state is pretty deplorable.	6/17/2015 2:43 PM

51	I don't like the overpass... until the area changes for the better, this seems like a bad idea.	6/17/2015 2:35 PM
52	Parking looks small, the area for organized sports is unnecessarily large, and there is less sq footage of playground (pocket park is smaller). Also concerned that the overstreet bridge creates an unsafe environment. I never feel safe walking under it at night, and the trash left there makes it obvious that the area is used for smoking and drinking at night	6/17/2015 2:29 PM
53	this won't lend itself to opening up the space	6/17/2015 2:17 PM
54	Preserves existing closed off concrete desert between the two existing buildings.	6/17/2015 1:52 PM
55	I don't like the addition of parking - there are two major parking garages within a block of the building. I don't like that this design will eliminate the outdoor kiddie pool - there are only a handful in the while city and it is a real gem.	6/17/2015 1:43 PM
56	The existing building is very old and dated, and I don't think adding to that and refreshing it is the best solution. It makes more sense to build a new facility for the students.	6/17/2015 1:41 PM
57	- The building addition on the East side will impact the amount of total open/outdoor space. All things considered, however, it seems the most logical place to put an addition - assuming it matches with the rest of the current building design and is not built above the height of the current building.	6/17/2015 1:30 PM
58	That the school shares space	6/17/2015 1:13 PM
59	Where will our kids go to school when construction is ongoing? I don't like shared lobby space and would like to see total separation of the school and the community center. Safety of the children is paramount.	6/17/2015 1:12 PM
60	--Flawed and limited design for the proposed pool addition: the pool addition is poorly conceived and will likely provide a lower quality swimming environment and experience than the existing pool; among other things, the proposed pool addition on the 18th Street side will not have access to natural light and will lack the existing pool's open and airy feeling --The addition of a new parking lot on the far east side of the campus is not appropriate as the Adams Morgan neighborhood is walkable and well served by public transit; the ANC resolution did not call for the addition of more parking on the MR campus; public funds should not be used to subsidize the driving habits of a small number of facility users; scarce public property should not be removed from educational and other community uses and re-allocated to the exclusive use of a small number of automobile drivers --If the pool addition is not included, the modernized MR facility apparently will not include a community multi-purpose room, which is greatly needed --The existing theater is preserved under Option A but the plans do not indicate what its function would be (based on the plans, it likely would limited to school use as is now the case; the plans should do more to convert the theater into a space that can be used by the public) --The "connector" on the north side of the campus serves no purpose (it joins 18th and Champlain Streets but not at a useful point) and eats up space for no obvious reason; it makes more sense to have the entry pathway from 18th Street to the school also serve as the connector; it already leads to a pathway that wraps around the north side of pump house under Option A and can serve the same function as the proposed connector pathway --There is no room to expand the existing early childhood learning center (UPO has said that it would like to be able to expand its program at MR) --The outdoor space is poorly organized (in contrast to Option B where the playground and the outdoor fields and courts are directly adjacent to the school building and more readily accessible and viewable from the school building)	6/17/2015 1:03 PM
61	Parking limited; education area seems somewhat isolated.	6/17/2015 12:58 PM
62	happy hollow community pool taken away. Parking lot effect on neighboring community.	6/17/2015 12:56 PM
63	I'm a bit concerned about the use of the word "refreshed" when it comes to the newly installed soccer field. What exactly does that mean? DCPS had nothing to do with the installation of that field and it concerns me that one of their contractors might "refresh" it. Why the need for additional parking? DCPS should be encouraging staff to use mass transit. You can't get much more mass transit friendly than Adams Morgan. The room for additional parking could be green space.	6/17/2015 12:49 PM
64	I don't think this fits the needs of the community	6/17/2015 12:37 PM
65	None	6/17/2015 11:28 AM
66	Bridge over Champlain Street remains. DPR additions may not upgrade the pool facility to the level needed for the community.	6/17/2015 11:15 AM
67	Concern that the school should be separated with its own security	6/17/2015 11:15 AM
68	While I am in favor of modernizing existing buildings, I would like to know whether a feasibility study has been or will be performed. Older buildings can be tricky and unpredictable to modernize, and Option A may or may not be a cheaper option depending on the actual condition of the building.	6/17/2015 10:58 AM

69	Parking appears inadequate, and the organized sports are all bounded by busy streets, which may make that space very noisy	6/17/2015 10:52 AM
70	It appears like a repurposed campus. It's not retrofitted it's retro purposed. Ask any teacher what happens to spaces for "unstructured learning." Sound good - practically it's useless. It's an idea that's been proven ineffective for education. And since the test scores for Marie Reed are low - I bet the architects didn't ask for input from teachers.	6/17/2015 10:44 AM
71	the emphasis on sky lights to modernize the building is concerning (although I understand may be the only option) because they leak and require significant maintenance. Will the reconfigured space really be adequate and functional for the school in the long term (does it have space for music, arts, gym time, library/media, etc?). And I know it's probably a pipe dream, but I don't see that this option provides any possibility for a community library anywhere down the road.	6/17/2015 10:22 AM
72	Where the parking is - if underground, terrific (as it the case now), if it's a new lot it's unclear how it would be accessed. That said, anything that increases parking options is good.	6/17/2015 9:53 AM
73	I could not tell if the expansions were the same square footage and adequate to meet additional needs in the future.	6/17/2015 8:19 AM
74	It doesn't seem to have much connection to the street on 18th or Champlain. The early childhood playground seems remote and like it wouldn't be used very much.	6/17/2015 8:02 AM
75	Not clear that it includes features like a pool open to adults in the community or a rec center for neighborhood residents	6/16/2015 10:16 PM
76	Option A is a shortsighted approach. We need a new school.	6/16/2015 9:51 PM
77	I'm not a fan of the existing building so I'd remove it Parking area is not good idea.	6/16/2015 9:42 PM
78	Will this allow for enough space for the next decade?	6/16/2015 9:14 PM
79	Connector too close to Kalorama. Similar to site layout of today.	6/16/2015 9:07 PM
80	It is a waste of time and money.	6/16/2015 6:12 PM
81	--Allocating scarce public space to a new parking facility is not consistent with "sustainable" DC policies -- driving to the MR facility should be discouraged in a community that is both walkable and well served by public transit -- Marie Reed should be a model for sustainable development on all fronts --A new pool would be a welcome addition (more lanes and a 25-meter length (versus the existing 25-yard size) would improve swimming conditions) but not if it comes in the form of an unattractive new space that may feature low ceilings and limited access to natural light --The "connector" is likely to be little used and a possible danger zone --The space around the pumping station continues to be poorly designed, with no coherent plan for its use	6/16/2015 11:39 AM
82	None	6/16/2015 10:24 AM

Q3 IDEAS - How do you think Option A could be improved?

Answered: 63 Skipped: 42

#	Responses	Date
1	You should find a way to keep Happy Hollow and, if possible, the larger pool. Having an indoor pool is no substitute. Everyone loves Happy Hollow because it is outdoors. It also seems a waste to get rid of the just-renovated soccer field, but I guess you can do that if you want.	6/28/2015 10:43 AM
2	Please, include and keep the outdoor children's pool - it is the gem of the community.	6/28/2015 9:43 AM
3	save the current outdoor kiddie pool. Indoor pools may get used "year round" but there is nothing like the nice shaded outdoor pool experience for small children and this is the only one accessible in Adams Morgan.	6/27/2015 9:37 PM
4	I would go with the "alternate" layout as proposed in terms of adding more building space to the ground floor level to the West of the main building (e.g. coming close to 18th st), but rather than moving the pool to this space and converting the existing pool space to a multi-purpose room, I'd let the extension area house 2 things: (1) branch library on the ground floor, and (2) multi-purpose rec room on the floor above it. (Or this could also be flipped.) This would make more sense as a branch library would be a very valuable resource to the neighborhood, but should be easily accessible from 18th st so that patrons don't have to cross over the campus and go through the school area to access it.	6/27/2015 7:34 PM
5	I would incorporate within the building a permanent exhibit area, not necessarily grandiose, showing the old buildings and the new buildings together, visually reminding the students of the historic nature of their surroundings.	6/27/2015 6:50 PM
6	Please keep the outdoor kiddie pool - it's one of the few city-wide, and the only one accessible to the area with public transit!	6/27/2015 4:25 PM
7	Redevelop the part of the plan and leave the current playground intact. Move the parking and pocket park so that cars access the parking via Champlain Rd.	6/26/2015 6:21 PM
8	Retain an outdoor children's pool.	6/26/2015 4:55 PM
9	Add more pocket parks or park spaces	6/26/2015 4:03 PM
10	a/Vertical circulation on this heavily sloped site should be re-planned b/Eliminate planned parking c/Maintain outdoor kiddie pool d/Eliminate/ modify central court for greater usability (library? stage? principal's office?) and superior acoustics A multistory scheme along the 18th and California Streets perimeter with recreation facilities behind seems a better approach to me	6/26/2015 4:02 PM
11	PLEASE keep the kiddie pool!	6/26/2015 3:38 PM
12	Keep the kiddie pool where it is and keep it outdoors, keep or expand the lap pool so it is regulation size.	6/26/2015 2:19 PM
13	I want to speak up for the pools, because, as a former ANC Commissioner, I've heard from many residents who derive great joy from the swimming facilities. Whatever pools we choose to build, they will be with us for a long time. Particularly if we go with this plan and choose not to build a new structure, we should not sell ourselves short on the swimming facilities. Wilson Aquatic Center has a set a standard for the city, and while that level may be not be possible here, the residents of Adams Morgan still deserve high-quality facilities as well.	6/26/2015 12:21 PM
14	Opt for the addition that includes a new pool and locker facility.	6/26/2015 7:43 AM
15	From what I'm reading on this page - the entire design is "fluid" and nothing is set in stone. So anything definite would be an improvement.	6/25/2015 7:31 PM
16	Is Champlain street being re-opened? If not, please do so.	6/25/2015 3:59 PM
17	I have no idea.	6/25/2015 1:51 PM
18	I am not all that thrilled with the options I can think of.	6/24/2015 11:30 PM
19	If Option A is used, please include both pools and playground. Both kiddie and lap pools are very important to me and my family.	6/24/2015 12:29 PM

20	Add more green space! Trees/grass/landscaping would help make the playground areas more comfortable and inviting.	6/24/2015 10:42 AM
21	Can you keep the kiddie pool where it is, please??? It's the only one I've seen with tree shade, and we'll lose it if it's moved!	6/24/2015 9:32 AM
22	1. Would like to see the facades of the building open up towards 18th street and Champlain Street to create a more better and inviting streetscape. 2. The plan needs to solve the problem of adding a swimming pool addition if required. How would this affect the organized sport areas? 3. Would like to see a nice design for the early childhood playground. The current design with steep slope and paved areas is not very inviting. Can the playground be on the roof of a parking garage below? That would remove the parking area from the proposed location in the existing playground area.	6/23/2015 11:38 PM
23	I don't think that building additions are the way to go. I would favor a better use of existing buildings.	6/23/2015 7:07 PM
24	At this stage, more detail from design firm on plans for the overpass over Champlain street and the school's abutting of Old Morgan School Place would help to inform constructive criticism, At a minimum however, more lighting (preferably not garish fluorescent lighting) and openness would greatly benefit both spaces, and by extension, the school as a whole.	6/23/2015 5:37 PM
25	Why is there so much parking?	6/23/2015 4:18 PM
26	- Instead of the surface parking off the alley, I would suggest an entrance off Champlain and a small parking lot next to the existing apartment building with the early childhood playground on top. This may also help to bridge the elevation change on the site in this location. There really should be a place to post Q&A but since there is not I will ask here: - Also what does "EDUCATION" mean on the plans? - Where will community access points be? I like the coded gate on Ontario and would like to see another one on Champlain and/or in the alley.	6/23/2015 2:06 PM
27	Keep Ontario road as is: Playground stays. No parking. Put education where you have early childhood education. Put parking underground! Let Champlain traffic go through. Separate entrances for school vs other services. I don't understand what the connector is about.	6/22/2015 10:55 PM
28	Make the buildings as modern as possible and an inspiration to all kids who will be using it and to anyone that passes by to be proud of what we have in our neighborhood from the exterior as well as the interior.	6/22/2015 2:33 PM
29	Remove the bridge over Champlain.	6/22/2015 11:24 AM
30	Add a school and remove the extra building.	6/22/2015 9:02 AM
31	See above. Also, not clear what eastern rectangle labelled "Education" would be. More detailed site plan is needed to show actual circulation relationships between buildings and outdoor facilities. Adding green roofs, especially if they could be used for environmental education and enabling students to either garden on or groom them should be considered. Also adding ground level areas that could be used for student gardening/environmental education should also be considered.	6/21/2015 7:14 PM
32	Pool!!! Like the beautiful one they have at Wilson that gets young and old from everywhere-- but is SO far away for us and very hard to get to with young children on public transportation.	6/20/2015 7:44 AM
33	Take a more pedestrian-friendly approach to the street. Esp. in terms of landscaping.	6/19/2015 5:12 PM
34	We need a lap pool and an outdoor child pool. It is priceless for parents to have a safe place for their kids to enjoy the water outdoors! Much healthier for them to be outside in the sun and fresh air!	6/19/2015 2:40 PM
35	Remove the parking and keep both pools.	6/19/2015 8:12 AM
36	I don't think that parking area is the best use of that space. It seems out of the way a bit and not great to be so near the playground spaces for the youngest kids. Could parking space be combined with a better drop off zone on 18th? It's always a bit of a hassle with the limited space to drop kids off	6/18/2015 8:47 PM
37	looks good to me	6/18/2015 10:50 AM
38	Make sure there is significant drop off/pick up locations for parents that drive their kids.	6/18/2015 10:03 AM
39	Can the pools have some indoor/outdoor options? Have either the large or the toddler pool be/remain outside instead of both being indoors?	6/18/2015 8:57 AM
40	Just being genuinely concerned about the neighborhood as a whole. Remember there should be something for all of us here in Adams Morgan.	6/18/2015 8:26 AM

41	I see that there will be a building addition facing onto 18th. But the entrance is still recessed. So, can the entrance be made welcoming - not just a concrete corridor?	6/17/2015 10:55 PM
42	Accept both alternate plans that keep the pool and make the kiddie pool an outdoor pool as it currently is. These pools are critical neighborhood assets that serve as some of the few local spaces that serve the whole community and promote interaction across the socioeconomic spectrum. There are few kiddie pools in the city and none that are easily accessible by public transportation. So it is easily accessible by all and provides a safe free place for children especially during Heat advisory days. Also it's outdoor location is wonderful. It would be a big loss to have it indoors, an even bigger loss not to have it at all. The lap pool is also heavily used by a wide range of community members and its disappearance would be greatly detrimental to the community.	6/17/2015 4:08 PM
43	Option A can be improved by moving the parking lot away from close to Ontario Rd.	6/17/2015 3:32 PM
44	Additional spaces for Organized Sports would be good. The school serves an important community space for tennis, basketball and soccer.	6/17/2015 3:02 PM
45	It would be nice to add a school drop off on the east side. A driveway for drop-off would mitigate traffic impacts. More parking (is underground parking an options?). Having the pool(s) get some natural light would be nice. Providing for shade in the playground and the organized sports area is something that would be appreciated in the summer. Including a splash area in the playground or elsewhere would be great in the summer. If the pool addition is underground, real grass and shade would be nice at the surface or this could be an area for a summer splash park. Consider adding a green roof if possible.	6/17/2015 2:48 PM
46	More specifics about what "renovation" looks like.	6/17/2015 2:43 PM
47	remove the overpass area.	6/17/2015 2:35 PM
48	More parking in part of the sports area..? More playground space?	6/17/2015 2:29 PM
49	move playgrounds to the East; move West building addition to the North; move parking lots to the West	6/17/2015 2:17 PM
50	The kiddie pool addition should be allow for lots of natural light in the addition. I'm not sure why we're giving up valuable space for a "connector" when the design already allows for entry from both Champlain and 18th. That space could be used for a larger playground.	6/17/2015 1:43 PM
51	See comments above (#2).	6/17/2015 1:30 PM

52	<p>--Design the pool complex as an integrated whole that properly recognizes its existing centrality as a neighborhood resource and improves the swimming experience and opportunities in the neighborhood --Include users of the swimming pool in the design process: as a general proposition, the proposed designs do not appear to reflect the perspective of current pool users --Make sure that a new lap pool is at least 25 meters in length (other DC pools like Wilson and Takoma are the much larger Olympic size (50 meters)) and has at least 10 lanes (the existing pool is 25 yards in length, has only 6 lanes, and is heavily used) --Design the indoor kiddie pool so that DPR can use it for learn-to-swim classes for children (DPR now provides learn-to-swim classes in the lap pool, sometimes taking up as many as 3 of the 6 lanes and subjecting children to the colder water temperatures preferred by lap swimmers) --Separate the indoor kiddie pool from the lap pool to some extent but do not put it in an entirely separate addition as one of the plans proposes --Provide meaningful vertical space and natural light for the pool (the pool included in the proposed addition looks like an underground pool, with low ceilings and little-to-no natural light) --Consider adding an outdoor kiddie pool (perhaps directly south of, and adjacent to, the proposed pool addition so that a single set of locker and shower rooms can serve all 3 pools) (the existing campus contains an outdoor pool, with its own locker and shower rooms, that is well used in the summer) -- Consider placing the outdoor basketball court on the roof of the pool addition (the space is tight between the existing soccer field and proposed pool addition) --Consider designing the pool structure so that it could support a library addition that could be created by adding a story or two on top of the pool --Open up the exterior walls of the classrooms to greatly increase the flow of natural light into the classrooms --Provide for the on-site generation of renewable energy through solar panels and/or geothermal sources (with the addition of skylights, it is unclear whether Option A will include room for significant solar installations on the rooftops) --Include a loading dock or service entry for delivery of goods (service vehicles often now park on the entry path to the 18th Street entrance to the school) and provide space for the on-site storage of garbage (perhaps this should be in the existing parking structure on Old Morgan Place as was originally the case) --Better incorporate the building's many outside balconies into the existing design and make better use of them (existing balconies include: a semicircular balcony above the 18 Street entrance; a balcony that runs along the north side of the west building and provides an outdoor connection between the west and east buildings; a balcony on the south side of the building that is accessed via the pool's largely unused interior balcony; a balcony on the south side of the east building (now used to dump garbage into ground-level receptacles; a balcony on the north side of the east building (just above the playground for the early childhood learning center) --Provide space for curriculum-based vegetable and flower gardens --Better incorporate the pump station into the re-design through landscaping; work with the Army Corp of Engineers on a coordinated plan for more attractive fencing and landscaping within the fence</p>	6/17/2015 1:03 PM
53	Integrate education into the existing structure and increase parking space.	6/17/2015 12:58 PM
54	use existing parking beneath building	6/17/2015 12:56 PM
55	Can the pedestrian bridge over Champlain be removed?	6/17/2015 11:15 AM
56	Use Option B.	6/17/2015 10:44 AM
57	Given the constraints of reusing the existing building, this seems to be well-designed in terms of use of space. Specific design or building decisions should consider longevity, maintenance, and appropriateness for elementary students.	6/17/2015 10:22 AM
58	Is there the opportunity for more massing on the street to increase density?	6/17/2015 8:02 AM
59	Include a DC public library branch as part of the plan!	6/16/2015 10:16 PM
60	Emphasize the school, not the health center.	6/16/2015 9:51 PM
61	Would bringing the entry towards 18th St allow for any additional space to be created on the 2nd floor?	6/16/2015 9:14 PM
62	Get rid of Options A and B and start over.	6/16/2015 6:12 PM

63	<p>--Replace proposed new outdoor parking lot with new outdoor facilities like a garden or a playground --Replace outdoor basketball court with an outdoor kiddie pool and move outdoor basketball court to roof of the swimming pool --The new indoor kiddie pool should be large enough to accommodate classes and be sufficiently and clearly separated from the main pool --Look at re-building and re-aligning the soccer field and tennis courts to more effectively use outdoor space (it is true that the soccer field is a recent addition but it is laid out in such a way as to produce a lot of wasted space); there may be a more effective way to array outdoor playing spaces; similarly, it may be more effective use of space to extend the western bldg in the direction of Calif St (instead of 18th Street) --The lap pool, whether in a new win or a southern extension of the existing pool space, should have natural light on as many sides as possible and a high ceiling to create an open and airy feeling for swimmers -- Provide space on the roofs for solar arrays --Eliminate the "connector" on the north side of the campus and replace it with a single "entry" path that serves the 18th Street entrance and then continues to wrap around the pumping station to reach the eastern side of the campus --Provide flexible and adaptable space in the west wing that can be converted to other public uses like a possible branch library</p>	6/16/2015 11:39 AM
----	--	--------------------

Q4 PROS - What do you like about Option B?

Answered: 79 Skipped: 26

#	Responses	Date
1	I like that you plan to have a pool and kiddie pool, but it's really wonderful now to have Happy Hollow outside.	6/28/2015 10:45 AM
2	Adds more resources	6/28/2015 9:44 AM
3	I like that the new building of Option B is not as tightly packed into the available space as the addition in Option A. Option A puts the addition awfully close to the street. Option B has a bit more space around the new building, particularly on the 18th street side.	6/27/2015 7:02 PM
4	The pool is an integral part of it, not just an option	6/27/2015 8:29 AM
5	keep the pools!	6/26/2015 7:45 PM
6	Very nice new indoor spaces, but at a very high cost.	6/26/2015 6:22 PM
7	a/It makes sense, if the existing building is to be re-used, to give over its unsatisfactory open classroom development to non-classroom uses. b/Accessibility and circulation within the new building is more centralized and presumably conveneient	6/26/2015 4:14 PM
8	Nothing, much worse than option A.	6/26/2015 4:06 PM
9	None	6/26/2015 3:38 PM
10	pretty much nothing	6/26/2015 2:27 PM
11	can't really say I like anything about this option.	6/26/2015 2:06 PM
12	The awkward and unwieldy previous shell is removed.	6/26/2015 12:24 PM
13	New pool is guaranteed.	6/26/2015 7:44 AM
14	New building anchoring California Street and 18th and framing rec. fields with urbanism. Soccer field shielded from busy streets.	6/25/2015 5:13 PM
15	Better engages 18th Street.	6/25/2015 3:16 PM
16	Nothing.	6/25/2015 1:52 PM
17	Traditional school building would likely amplify learning for students. Activates California street.	6/24/2015 2:08 PM
18	Park. Pools	6/24/2015 12:29 PM
19	Obviously a new building sounds very appealing—it would be guaranteed to be more modern and suit the current needs of the community. A new pool and gym both sound like amazing amenities. And because the sports fields will be moved, I assume that would all get brand new surfacing, which would be great.	6/24/2015 10:47 AM
20	1. It will be easier to keep the school operating during construction. 2. The building has a street presence and the entrances to the school and DPR pool and gym are well located and defined. 2. Champlain can become more of a street again with no building crossing it overhead.	6/23/2015 11:38 PM
21	No need for a new building on California street. Do not remove/relocate soccer field, which was built with private funds.	6/23/2015 8:22 PM
22	A more open design. Better organization of space. Two separate buildings may seem less massive than a single building. A lot of exterior space. Creates an opening in Champlain street, which reduces claustrophobic feeling and improves safety. Also: good for the neighborhood to have a modern building from a reknown architecture firm.	6/23/2015 7:11 PM
23	Clustering of sports spaces; early childhood playground on Ontario Road (ideally renovating current playground at site).	6/23/2015 5:39 PM

24	-I like the prominence of the school in the new southern location. -If a new ground-up school is best for learning in ways that cannot be accomplished in the old building, then this is worth it.	6/23/2015 2:11 PM
25	Nothing. Huge waste of what we recently invested.	6/22/2015 11:02 PM
26	I think there is something nice about having a new building being built to modernize the look and feel of this area.	6/22/2015 2:33 PM
27	A lot! The site "flow" is much better. With the bridge over Champlain removed, the pedestrian connector in the middle of the site, the organized sports and playground relocated, and the new building on the south side, pedestrian traffic will move much better through the area. For example, I regularly walk around the site and down Champlain on my way to YMCA Anthony Bowen; now, I would take the pedestrian connector. Most importantly, the main building will be new, and the Champlain bridge will be eliminated.	6/22/2015 11:37 AM
28	Everything, but especially the addition of a school and expanded day care	6/22/2015 9:04 AM
29	Nothing.	6/21/2015 7:53 PM
30	The new building proposed off California Street. That section of California is already crowded and hardly can handle two cars passing.	6/21/2015 4:35 PM
31	New! We really do need new modern clean buildings.	6/20/2015 7:47 AM
32	Not much	6/19/2015 5:13 PM
33	I like seeing all of the sports areas together. I love the atrium idea. Having an indoor gym and possibly classrooms and party rooms would be a godsend in the winter months for hosting birthday parties. I love rec centers that keep our kids safe and healthy after school.	6/19/2015 2:47 PM
34	Costs more	6/19/2015 12:41 PM
35	Both pools! Sounds great. Outdoors is nice for the kiddie pool. I'd note that the current INDOOR pool closes when there's thunder and lightning because they say the water supply is outdoors - would be nice if that can be addressed, because thunderstorms are so frequent in DC.	6/19/2015 10:08 AM
36	It houses more amenities for the school and community. Also, the school would be housed in a new state of the art building. The parking would not abut the Ontario street alley.	6/19/2015 8:14 AM
37	I like option B -- the layout, structures, etc.	6/18/2015 10:04 PM
38	I like that the school gets a separate designated space and might not be as disruptive to the kids to construct	6/18/2015 8:55 PM
39	Nothing in particular	6/18/2015 10:51 AM
40	It gets rid of the entire old school.	6/18/2015 10:05 AM
41	Makes sense for wic and health to be co-located in a separate area from the school. Cafeteria to be used as community space, but is this instead of a multi purpose room as proposed in option a?	6/18/2015 9:08 AM
42	Nothing	6/18/2015 8:29 AM
43	New is much better! Maybe not this "layers" but something that starts from scratch and uses modern building concepts that give our neighborhood and kids the very best.	6/18/2015 7:39 AM
44	I like the building being at the corner of 18th and California - even though I also like the way the new field has turned out in that location over the past year. I just think this will be good for the school and for the community. (Provided that the exterior of the building has some texture. Please, architects, no 20th-Century Hideous Style anymore!)	6/17/2015 11:10 PM
45	Comprehensive in design and delivery. Offers the community a really new and broad range of opportunities.	6/17/2015 4:35 PM
46	Keeps both pools, although loss of outdoor kiddie pool is a negative; expands daycare	6/17/2015 4:17 PM
47	New building fit for purpose, maximizes use of space. Swimming pool and kiddies pool would add to the community	6/17/2015 4:12 PM
48	The playground on Ontario Rd side.	6/17/2015 3:34 PM
49	A new building would suit the children. The connector is vital, it seems better designed in Option B.	6/17/2015 3:04 PM
50	It has parking. It has 2 drop-off points. The pools would get some natural light. I like the green roof.	6/17/2015 2:49 PM

51	I like this option because it's hard for me to imagine the old building being made modern because it's so bad. I like the addition of a new pool and locker room.	6/17/2015 2:44 PM
52	seems to be a better use of space.	6/17/2015 2:36 PM
53	I like that the playgrounds and sports areas are all clustered together. I also prefer the orientation of the building on California. It makes it more accessible and prominent...more visible and therefore potentially safer and more attractive to community members.	6/17/2015 2:34 PM
54	campus is much more open; uses some of the existing structure; more inviting arrangement; uses same early childhood playground	6/17/2015 2:20 PM
55	Appears to open up Champlain Street in area that always feels very unsafe at night. Like the addition of a 25 meter pool.	6/17/2015 1:54 PM
56	It will be a brand new space.	6/17/2015 1:46 PM
57	I like having a new modern building for the students, and it seem like this plan also feel less disjointed - the playground and sports areas are together and it feels more like a planned space than something that we tried to make work.	6/17/2015 1:45 PM
58	- It is hard to find anything to like about Option B. Even the interiors of the new building don't seem as light or modern as Option A.	6/17/2015 1:43 PM
59	The ugly building would be demolished; the school would have a lot of space (nearly its own building); with the sports fields and connector in the middle, it has a campus look and the buildings don't seem crammed up against each other; the emphasis on natural light	6/17/2015 1:18 PM
60	--Ability to establish separate classroom floors for each of the three main age groups is appealing --Provides an opportunity to properly lay out the athletic facilities in a more cohesive and organized way than is now the case or would be the case under Option A (there is a great deal of wasted space associated with the current soccer field); under Option B, the space for outdoor activities (playground and outdoor field and courts) is well organized in relation to the school building as all are directly accessible and viewable from the school building --More space for the early childhood learning center (may be incorrectly labeled on the design for Option B as "daycare" space)	6/17/2015 1:05 PM
61	More parking; consolidation of pool areas	6/17/2015 1:01 PM
62	nothing	6/17/2015 12:58 PM
63	Fits the needs of the community and the neighborhood	6/17/2015 12:38 PM
64	Not much.	6/17/2015 11:29 AM
65	New building guarantees pool and athletic facilities deserving of this very dense community. Pedestrian bridge over Champlain is removed	6/17/2015 11:17 AM
66	The new building would be more adapted to the current purpose as it would be designed specifically for today's usage. Parking area is larger and appears more adequate. I like the fact that the organized sports area is more contained and not bounded on all sides by busy streets.	6/17/2015 10:55 AM
67	Organization of services. Better access to services.	6/17/2015 10:50 AM
68	I like the location of the cafeteria for evening use.	6/17/2015 10:44 AM
69	The new building has entry right off the main street and the bus stop. the building will likely be built specifically for the students and to be functional and useful in the long term. The reconfiguring of the space shows a better use of the space so that there are more options for the school and the community. I like that the school is now separate from the health clinic and the WIC and the day care so that when students are there it is clear that the focus is on them.	6/17/2015 10:30 AM
70	Unified fields and play space	6/17/2015 9:54 AM
71	Added park space looks larger	6/17/2015 8:21 AM
72	I like the new larger building on the site. I like that the building on Champlain will be visible from 18th st so people will know it is there. I like that the school will be new and that the pool will be reconstructed even larger.	6/17/2015 8:16 AM
73	Location of cafeteria for non-school/community uses. Atrium with light.	6/16/2015 10:19 PM
74	The school is amazing and extremely functional. Its social services is super to what we have. It is an absolute win-win.	6/16/2015 9:53 PM

75	Removal of part of existing building. I'd like to see it all go	6/16/2015 9:45 PM
76	The new building does seem to offer advantages both in size and in light and design.	6/16/2015 9:22 PM
77	New facilities. Better street wall and presence at south of site. Tucks sports facilities into site. Better pedestrian connectivity. Larger pocket park. Improved site layout and opens up Champlain (no sky bridge). Feels less like a fortress and more inviting (security and sight lines).	6/16/2015 9:19 PM
78	Nothing.	6/16/2015 6:13 PM
79	I hate it.	6/16/2015 10:26 AM

Q5 CONS - What concerns do you have about Option B?

Answered: 81 Skipped: 24

#	Responses	Date
1	1. My biggest concern is Happy Hollow. This is a tiny area and it is much-loved by every child in the neighborhood. We go there several times every week during summer. 2. The large pool is also widely used. 3. I've virtually never seen the existing soccer area unused. 4. There are a million parks around, and there is absolutely no need for another pocket park. The playground and field are both great, but everyone would rather have Happy Hollow than a pocket park.	6/28/2015 10:45 AM
2	No mentioning of the outdoor pool	6/28/2015 9:44 AM
3	having the kiddie pool in the basement seems like a loss.	6/27/2015 9:39 PM
4	The Option B new building's separation from the other buildings is awkward during inclement weather or D.C.'s summertime.	6/27/2015 7:02 PM
5	Please keep the outdoor kiddie pool - it's one of the few city-wide, and the only one accessible to the area with public transit!	6/27/2015 4:25 PM
6	I don't want a parking lot behind the alley on Ontario and I don't want Ontario to become a main traffic thoroughfare for the school. Also, I'd really like to save the happy hallow kiddie pool. It is a neighborhood treasure. We really enjoy having an outdoor pool in the neighborhood!!!	6/27/2015 1:54 PM
7	Why tear down the newly build soccer field? This seems wasteful.	6/26/2015 6:22 PM
8	This plan does not seem to retain Happy Hollow, the outdoor children's pool that is a community fixture in the summer months. Our family has used this pool since our 8-year-old daughter was an infant. It is a rarity in this city - a shaded, outdoor pool designed for children within walking distance of densely populated residential neighborhoods filled with kids. We love this pool. A replacement indoor pool in the basement of a new building is no replacement. One of the best aspects of Happy Hollow is that children can play outside, in the sunlight, in the fresh breeze, and not be relegated to a basement under fluorescent lights, in a haze of chlorinated air. The children in our neighborhood don't have many amenities - the playgrounds at Kalorama Park and Walter Pierce are old and tired. We want to keep what we have in Happy Hollow. It's a special place, a true neighborhood gem.	6/26/2015 4:55 PM
9	a/Trip chains for caregivers are extended and difficult b/Outdoor kiddie pool, a summer essential, is sacrificed c/Parking is an inappropriate use of space	6/26/2015 4:14 PM
10	Ugly design breaks feel of neighborhood coming up 18th street hill. Messes up view and feel of 18th street. Tears down building to make a parking lot - are you kidding me!!!! That is the WORST idea ever for creating a vibrant pedestrian neighborhood. Terrible - do not add any parking lot areas, only underground garage if anything.	6/26/2015 4:06 PM
11	Loss of outdoor children's pool	6/26/2015 3:38 PM
12	After the big, costly, and well-done renovation of the soccer fields, this plan would now bulldoze them to build an unneeded building? That idea is not only ridiculous, it is fiscally irresponsible. The existing building is structurally sound enough to remain and be renovated, as it is in Option A. Our wonderful outdoor kiddie pool, a real neighborhood asset, would be lost. Who wants to take their kids to an indoor basement on a sunny summer day?	6/26/2015 2:27 PM
13	Basically just flips the existing site. Seems like a huge waste of not just improving what's already there.	6/26/2015 2:06 PM
14	I'm confused as to how an additional building will fit south of the soccer field. I'm also skeptical of moving the tennis courts and separating organized sports.	6/26/2015 12:24 PM
15	More enclosed, seems less modern and more traditional school-like.	6/26/2015 7:44 AM
16	Building ignores Champlain and Florida ave geometries like an object in space.	6/25/2015 5:13 PM
17	Doesn't seem as innovative.	6/25/2015 4:08 PM
18	Moving the sports field to the center somewhat cuts it off from the nice view at 18th and California. Putting a building there will add too much traffic to the already busy intersection	6/25/2015 3:31 PM

19	...that the tennis courts that were just recently fixed up this year and the soccer field that the Embassy of the UAE helped pay for which was just dedicated in 2013 will be have to be redone with this displacement and paid for again	6/25/2015 2:32 PM
20	Too much needless construction.	6/25/2015 1:52 PM
21	Demolishes soccer field that was just renovated. Bigger budget. Possibly longer construction time. No pocket park on Ontario.	6/24/2015 2:08 PM
22	Inclusion of pools are very important to me and my family. Extremely long construction time and many years where we would not have access to the facilities. I don't think parking is necessary. I think we should encourage people to think of AdMo as a pedestrian area. I don't want to encourage more people to drive in. Having said that, if it's a way for the city to make money, then that's reasonable. High cost - I would prefer a cheaper option to ensure that the pools remain free.	6/24/2015 12:29 PM
23	I assume this option would take longer to build and cause more disruption in the neighborhood. I'd be willing to put up with that for a better final outcome.	6/24/2015 10:47 AM
24	1. There is not enough playground space by the school for all the grades to use. 2. The classrooms are arranged more vertically and have no breakout space. 3. The buildings appear to occupy more of the opens space than option A. 4. The sports field has to be moved.	6/23/2015 11:38 PM
25	Do not remove/relocate soccer field, which was built with private funds. Do not put parking access on Ontario road.	6/23/2015 8:22 PM
26	What does "education" refer to?	6/23/2015 7:11 PM
27	Demolition of existing structure + brand new soccer field.	6/23/2015 5:39 PM
28	Adding another building is a complete waste of money. - Use it to make better use of the existing site (tear down that ugly thing)	6/23/2015 4:20 PM
29	-I am concerned about the free-standing WIC/Community of Hope building in my back yard because I do not know what that means. -This seems like the least cost effective option. - Parking should ideally be structured or underground - Parking access must be off Champlain or 18th, not the alley.	6/23/2015 2:11 PM
30	I don't want Ontario road changed. It is a residential area. This is better than option A's layout. The idea of moving the soccer field really bothers me because it is relatively new. I also question whether a new pool would be better than the existing one refurbished. They don't make pools like the one at Marie reed any more. Is the new one going to have 12ft depth or such beautiful tile?	6/22/2015 11:02 PM
31	Some of the plans have the tennis courts back on the other side, but I feel like the tennis courts need to be more visible and off 18th street where potential damage to them can be viewed, throwing them back in the early childhood playground area as some pictures show, is not a good idea given the lack of foot traffic and activity there. The basketball courts would work well there.	6/22/2015 2:33 PM
32	Not much- I much prefer this option. My main concern is that the brand new field will be moved, but I am ok with that considering the vast improvements this plan would allow.	6/22/2015 11:37 AM
33	Limited additional recreational facilities	6/22/2015 9:04 AM
34	Demolishing the west building and constructing a new one that would not be connected to the east one would be both unsustainable and inefficient, requiring the most site disruption and greatest impact on the neighborhood during construction, and provide less outdoor recreation space in an inefficient manner. Putting the new building on the south side where there is now a stepped retaining wall that was recently redone would probably require construction of extensive foundations and new retaining wall, making this option much more expensive. According to what appears to be p. 7 , it looks like parking would be put under the east building on the ground floor, with parking entry from the west, but the plan above does not show any access from 18th Street there. All in all, there are discrepancies between where various facilities are shown on different sheets - very confusing! And again it's not clear what the eastern "education" rectangle would be used for. Also, putting the early childhood playground closest to the street and the pocket park in the most protected area seems inapropos.	6/21/2015 7:53 PM
35	Just because you have the space, it doesn't mean you need to fill it up with buildings.	6/21/2015 4:35 PM
36	Loosing the sports fields - and they now are really not seen or access able to the public-- a real visual loss--ore building less open.	6/20/2015 7:47 AM
37	It ruins the current california Street space - which is, finally (!), working as a good neighbor to the Mint and other neighbors.	6/19/2015 5:13 PM

38	I am concerned that the outdoor kiddie pool will not be replaced. An indoor one would be good in the winter, but it is so much nicer to have a place for our kids to enjoy the water in the sunshine and fresh air. Please do what you can to keep it, too!	6/19/2015 2:47 PM
39	Lots of construction	6/19/2015 12:41 PM
40	None	6/19/2015 8:14 AM
41	None	6/18/2015 10:04 PM
42	On the other hand it seems wasteful to lose the good existing field and would be a loss for the neighborhood during the transition. Is the early childhood park really across the site from where the kids would be? That seems like the hardest group to transport to a playground. Why would they be given the farthest to go between class and play?	6/18/2015 8:55 PM
43	Putting outdoor sports courts on 18th street is a waste of prime street frontage and a lost opportunity. The design for street frontage on 18th street should focus on creating a lively streetscape that can have activity or use almost 24/7. For example, along the streetscape allow for shops, storefronts, or another use that will attract people around the clock.	6/18/2015 11:10 AM
44	Seems wasteful. the field just got fixed!	6/18/2015 10:51 AM
45	Seems to be unfortunate to lose the brand new fields. And California is a very tight squeeze for cars- make sure the construction doesn't constrict access.	6/18/2015 10:05 AM
46	Early childhood education area completely separate from the elementary school, which seems strange. Needlessly moving courts and field. I prefer the building more centrally located n/s on 18th st breaking up the campus.	6/18/2015 9:08 AM
47	Too much construction.	6/18/2015 8:29 AM
48	The way it is currently designed. The kids being "upstairs" and the true separation not happening. Safety is a concern with the current design.	6/18/2015 7:39 AM
49	Drawing on my systems engineering background, I expect that this is the riskier design since it involves a bigger delta from what currently exists. So, for example, there is a greater risk of cost overrun, leading to bad compromises in order to complete the project. Not going to happen? I see. And you have some bridges for sale also, you say?	6/17/2015 11:10 PM
50	Will happy hollow pool be kept or demolished? Will there be an outdoor pool?	6/17/2015 8:58 PM
51	Loss of outdoor kiddie pool, a rare find in dc that is heavily used in the community; also seems like needless massive reorganization that is likely to cost more money. Finally, the two buildings in this design will be very far apart, going against the whole goal of integrating school and community services.	6/17/2015 4:17 PM
52	I have concerns about the new construction on Ontario Rd side. I do not like that there would be anew building for education on that side of the site.	6/17/2015 3:34 PM
53	Lots of construction, community disrupted by spaces being shifted.	6/17/2015 3:04 PM
54	I worry about the noise generated by the soccer area -- especially if movies are shown at night. It seems a shame to demolish the new soccer field. There is very little green space that would be accessible to the community. The tennis courts are situated east to west so that the sun would almost always be in one player's eyes -- this is probably an issue with the basketball courts as well. There is not much of a community buffer along 18th Street -- the buffer seems to be outside the site area. The early childhood playground is very far from the pre-k classrooms. I don't see an auditorium in the plans.	6/17/2015 2:49 PM
55	None really, other than the playground and the early child playground seem to be too much in the extremities. these two locations should be sheltered from the outside area more (in my opinion)...	6/17/2015 2:36 PM
56	The drawing of the building looks sort of utilitarian. The drawing for option A has more detail and is more attractive. I'm concerned that you might see more people who like option A simply based upon those two drawings	6/17/2015 2:34 PM
57	seems like costs may be higher because of more demo and construction	6/17/2015 2:20 PM
58	Extensive construction.	6/17/2015 1:54 PM
59	We should not be expanding parking.	6/17/2015 1:46 PM

60	- Option B substantially changes the landscape of the neighborhood by adding a huge building (much larger than any of the surrounding structures) on the south side. - Option B obliterates the (only recently) revamped soccer fields. - Option B would seem to be the least cost-effective choice (requiring much more new construction, leveling the only recently redone soccer fields, etc). - Option B rearranges the outdoor space in very illogical way - not only requiring the new soccer fields to be destroyed but calling for nearly all the other outdoor space to be reconfigured. And the outdoor spaces that are enlarged (such as the pocket park) are ones that are currently rarely used. - Option B appears to almost certainly have the most negative impact (with few, if any, positive contributions) to the neighborhood.	6/17/2015 1:43 PM
61	Rearranging everything, losing the current soccer fields - could be expensive and time-consuming, and the current fields now look great and are a part of the neighborhood.	6/17/2015 1:18 PM
62	where will our kids go to school during this process? I don't like the idea of the playground being so close to the street	6/17/2015 1:14 PM
63	--Option B will cost 25-30% more than Option A, making some of the community facilities vulnerable to future cuts in funding --The pool will be in the basement of the new building, resulting in limited access to natural light (windows are on only one side of the pool (east side)) and the interior vertical space is limited; in contrast, the existing pool is light and airy, with windows on 3 sides and an interior space that is about 25 feet in height) --The community multi-purpose room would be small --Establishment of a new parking garage on the first floor of the existing building will be costly and will result in the loss of valuable public space that could more effectively be used for vital community services such as the library that has been requested by the community --Ineffective use of existing building (first floor of the recycled existing building would be devoted to parking) --New building may block or limit the existing viewscape from 18th Street that includes St Matthews Cathedral and the Washington Monument --Providing a single entry point to the school building on 18th Street is likely to cause traffic problems (the current facility has vehicle access on 18th Street and Champlain Street	6/17/2015 1:05 PM
64	The two playground areas are separated; demolishing the building and massive reconfiguration of space does not appear to be cost-effective or good use of resources.	6/17/2015 1:01 PM
65	light pollution from tennis courts, wasting newly renovated soccer field, construction noise dust debris. inefficient use of existing structure.	6/17/2015 12:58 PM
66	The pool would be closed for longer.	6/17/2015 12:07 PM
67	Wasteful	6/17/2015 11:29 AM
68	Much more disturbance to the site. Existing soccer field will need to be moved, as will tennis courts. This could add significant expense.	6/17/2015 11:17 AM
69	I do not like putting the new building in that location, as it creates an 'office canyon' on California and would spoil the view for the neighbors. Conversely, the existing location is next to a power station and a pump station, and is set back from 18th, so it really doesn't impact anyone.	6/17/2015 11:00 AM
70	The playground areas are smaller, it is hard to tell if they are sufficient for their purpose	6/17/2015 10:55 AM
71	The DC government doesn't have much of a reputation for maintaining the integrity of city buildings.	6/17/2015 10:50 AM
72	the school is farther away and not connected to the parking which may be inconvenient for teachers, parents, visitors, etc. The playground right next to 18th street might be too visible/exposed to the busy street? The tennis courts were recently refinished and the soccer field is quite new so to start from scratch is not the most cost effective strategy. No space for a potential community library in the future.	6/17/2015 10:30 AM
73	A new building occupying what is now open space - I don't love the potential to visually close off the area.	6/17/2015 9:54 AM
74	Is it better to have more connection of the buildings?	6/17/2015 8:21 AM
75	we lose the brand new soccer field.	6/17/2015 8:16 AM
76	I don't like that 2 floors are underground. I'm not sure about combining the school and non-school uses -- would the public only have access when school is out? Would there be access to the adult pool and rec center for neighborhood residents?	6/16/2015 10:19 PM
77	None	6/16/2015 9:45 PM
78	Although they are being relocated, I'm not thrilled with the open soace at California & 18th going away. Filling in that corner will significantly change the view to the southeast.	6/16/2015 9:22 PM
79	Closing facilities for extended period of time. Assumed cost (not informed enough).	6/16/2015 9:19 PM

80	Destruction of new soccer field and the newly resurfaced tennis courts. Needless to say this is a big waste of money.	6/16/2015 6:13 PM
81	The new building would destroy the wonderful soccer field that just cost huge amounts to make, and would cause a lot of upheaval to backside neighbors. Thus options is horrible.	6/16/2015 10:26 AM

Q6 IDEAS - How do you think Option B could be improved?

Answered: 53 Skipped: 52

#	Responses	Date
1	As I stated in my comments on Option A, you should find a way to keep Happy Hollow. That's one of the nicest features of living in the area with kids. Having an indoor pool is no substitute, as everyone loves Happy Hollow because it is outside.	6/28/2015 10:45 AM
2	Please, include and keep the outdoor children's pool	6/28/2015 9:44 AM
3	Ensure that entry to the new education building has sufficient space/lanes on 18th street for loading and unloading on the busy intersection.	6/27/2015 7:02 PM
4	Please keep the outdoor kiddie pool - it's one of the few city-wide, and the only one accessible to the area with public transit!	6/27/2015 4:25 PM
5	Do Option A instead.	6/26/2015 6:22 PM
6	Retain Happy Hollow	6/26/2015 4:55 PM
7	a/Better use the sloping site by placing the new construction fully along the 18th and California Street perimeters b/The pocket park could accommodate the outdoor kiddie pool	6/26/2015 4:14 PM
8	Go with option A. Keep nice southern part of campus open with courts and fields. Do not add parking lots of any type. Add more parks.	6/26/2015 4:06 PM
9	PLEASE DON'T REMOVE the kiddie pool	6/26/2015 3:38 PM
10	Get rid of it.	6/26/2015 2:27 PM
11	Keep organized sports together.	6/26/2015 12:24 PM
12	Make it a 50 meter instead of 25 meter pool.	6/26/2015 7:44 AM
13	Semi public porch on west facade of new building for engaging 18th Street and shading the structure.	6/25/2015 5:13 PM
14	Option B should be thrown out.	6/25/2015 1:52 PM
15	Right now there are high fences around the early childhood playground on Ontario Road. Could those be taken down? Or made to be more inviting for neighborhood residents?	6/24/2015 10:47 AM
16	Can you keep the kiddie pool where it is, please??? It's the only one I've seen with tree shade, and we'll lose it if it's moved!	6/24/2015 9:33 AM
17	1. Can the building be a long and narrower building placed along 18th Street NW? \Going from the connector to California Street. Then the playground could be located to the East by Florida Avenue and at the lower level of the soccer fields. This would also give a nice street facade to 18th Street. 2. The playground needs to be expanded and connected to the building in a better way. 3. Can Old Morgan School place (road) be shutdown and incorporated into the school playground areas? Avenue.	6/23/2015 11:38 PM
18	By selecting Option A.	6/23/2015 5:39 PM
19	Don't do it.	6/23/2015 4:20 PM
20	- Parking access must be off Champlain or 18th, not the alley. - Parking should ideally be structured or underground	6/23/2015 2:11 PM
21	Describe accessibility plans.	6/23/2015 6:00 AM
22	Don't do it. Preserve as much of existing infrastructure as possible.	6/22/2015 11:02 PM

23	Keep all the sports in one area if possible, or at least the tennis courts still off 18th street visible to anyone driving or walking or living across the streets. The basketball courts could move to ontario road. Champlain street needs to open completely to traffic and the overpass should be removed or modernized, there's a lot more potential to making this area a very inviting one from the current feeling it has, which is one of neglect. If we are building something in our nation's capital, let's make lovely enough that the whole world (they do visit us given our other museums and monuments) would come to see this architectural masterpiece. Push the envelope and do something great please. Life is too short not to be surrounded by beautiful things. And we have the chance now. :) Thanks!!	6/22/2015 2:33 PM
24	A couple things. Make sure the adaptive reuse of the existing building makes it more open and approachable. Also make sure the new building interacts well with 18th, California, and Florida: with frontage on three streets, it's important to engage the streets well. When making final plans, really consider pedestrian flow through the site so that it welcomes the community and encourages use, rather than what the current site does of discouraging engagement.	6/22/2015 11:37 AM
25	Remove the pump station and create additional outdoor recreation space	6/22/2015 9:04 AM
26	By redesign and correlation between sheets.	6/21/2015 7:53 PM
27	POOL!! Where is it?? And we need an outdoor one for the kids... Where is happy hollow???	6/20/2015 7:47 AM
28	Keep the outdoor kiddie pool, please.	6/19/2015 2:47 PM
29	Bring the early childhood park closer to the kids who would be using it.	6/18/2015 8:55 PM
30	The new building has the potential to bring new life and activity to the neighborhood, but it should not be set back from the 18th street lot line. Rather, the building facade should abut the lot line, which would allow the building to contextually match the existing streetwall of the other buildings in the neighborhood. This would partially reduce the "dead zone" of sidewalk life between California street and next block north. Leaving gaps in the streetwall and setting buildings back creates dead zones which do not encourage pedestrians to be on the sidewalk in that area and reduces the vibrancy of the overall street scene.	6/18/2015 11:10 AM
31	No idea	6/18/2015 10:51 AM
32	Co locate all education programs - and playgrounds so not so far apart.	6/18/2015 9:08 AM
33	I don't.	6/18/2015 8:29 AM
34	Totally separate the school from the community activities/services. The school should have a stand alone strong presence that is defined and separate from the other things you want on the campus.	6/18/2015 7:39 AM
35	In line with my response to (5), my suggestions pertain more to management than design. But also to design, in that I think there should be bad-case (up to and including worst-case) scenarios defined in advance, with explicit plans made up-front about what in the design can be traded-off. And complete visibility of this information (and perhaps even input towards formulating it) on the part of the community. And complete visibility of project status, on an ongoing basis, so that the community buys into the trades as they occur, if and when they occur.	6/17/2015 11:10 PM
36	Don't reorganize as much, keep two buildings closer together, make kiddie pool outdoor pool	6/17/2015 4:17 PM
37	Remove the construction on Ontario Rd side and keep this space as a playground.	6/17/2015 3:34 PM
38	Option A or option B ;/ ? Expand Organized Sports areas	6/17/2015 3:04 PM
39	The new building could be designed to be green and energy efficient. More parking. A driveway for drop-off would mitigate traffic impacts. Providing for shade in the playground and the organized sports area is something that would be appreciated in the summer. Including a splash area in the playground or elsewhere would be great in the summer.	6/17/2015 2:49 PM
40	moving the two playgrounds to a more central location.	6/17/2015 2:36 PM
41	I would love to see a small community garden area, like what they have at Girard st park.	6/17/2015 2:34 PM
42	hard to say, given I can't see the budgets.	6/17/2015 2:20 PM
43	We can't get rid of the kiddie pool! We should be building a true community space inside the building.	6/17/2015 1:46 PM
44	I'm guessing this question should read "Option B" - not A. If so, I think the best improvement for Option B is to not pursue it and to use Option A instead.	6/17/2015 1:43 PM

45	--Eliminate the proposed parking garage on the first floor of the existing building and allocate the space to community use (community rooms, with possible future use as a library) --Develop a swimming complex that better serves community needs, including the need for more laps (it is unclear under Option B's new pool would be appropriately sized (at least 25 meters in length with at least 10 lanes) and whether the kiddie pool is designed for teaching) --Provide a service entrance for deliveries and facilities for the on-site storage of garbage - -Provide a pathway connecting the school building to Champlain Street and a drop-off location for cars and buses on Champlain Street to reduce traffic problems on 18th Street (existing design includes a green space between the school and Champlain that could easily serve as this pathway) --Provide space for curriculum-based vegetable and flower gardens --Better incorporate the pump station into the re-design through landscaping; work with the Army Corp of Engineers on a coordinated plan for more attractive fencing and landscaping within the fence	6/17/2015 1:05 PM
46	Try to work with some of the existing structures and merge playground areas to make better use of the space.	6/17/2015 1:01 PM
47	I think this question is wrong and I'm hesitant to write anything. It should be Option B not Option A.	6/17/2015 10:50 AM
48	Add a DC public library branch to the plan!	6/16/2015 10:19 PM
49	I would expand the playground.	6/16/2015 9:53 PM
50	Will there be a way to move from the old to new buildings without being effected by inclement weather?	6/16/2015 9:22 PM
51	Provide phasing plan to see how the facilities are impacted during the construction.	6/16/2015 9:19 PM
52	Throw out options A and B and start over.	6/16/2015 6:13 PM
53	Don't do option B.	6/16/2015 10:26 AM